O: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		Office	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
In Compliance filed in the U.S. Distr		<u>t - CA</u>	on the following X Patents or Trademarks:	
OCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. D	STRICT COURT	
LAINTIFF	RESOURCES CORPOR		DEC 2	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLINER OF PATENT OR TEAD MARK	
		Marti	n Calawelli christy Gandal Forma ke	
6,945,932	Sep. 20, 2005	Munti	DEPUTY	
		_		
		_		
DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	nendment	Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK			
<u> </u>				
2		-		
3				
4	1			
DECISION/IUDGEMENT			order or judgement issued:	
In the above-ent			n rendered or judgement issued: order of dismissed order of dismissed	

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

6

4

7 8

10

9

12

11

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

27

26

5089815_1 28

The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties seeking entry of judgment and dismissal of the present action, and for good cause appearing, does HEREBY ORDER, ADJUDGE and DECREE THAT:

- This Court has jurisdiction over the parties hereto and the subject 1. matter of this action;
- Gaya is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,945,932 (the '932 patent); 2.
- Gaya has asserted a claim of infringement of the '932 patent against 3. Applied for Applied's manufacture, use, sale and offer for sale of products alleged to be within the scope of the claims of the '932 patent, including Applied products marketed under the "GelPort" and "GelPort XE" trade names;
- Applied has not infringed the '932 patent by the manufacture, use, 4. sale, or offer for sale of any Applied products, including those marketed under the "GelPort" or "GelPort XE" trade names;
- In view of the above, adjudication of Applied's claim for a declaration 5. of patent invalidity is no longer necessary to resolve the dispute between the parties and said claim is therefore dismissed without prejudice;
- All remaining claims and counterclaims are dismissed with prejudice; 6. and
- Each party shall bear it own costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein. 7.

Dated: 4/15/109

United States District Court Judge